

Reasons for Remand - W.D.N.C.¹ January 1, 2012 - December 31, 2013

- (1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision (16 cases).
- (2) Hypothetical question did not include all impairments (2 cases).
- (3) ALJ failed to resolved conflict between VE's testimony and DOT (2 cases).
- (4) Remand due to *Byrd v. Commissioner*, 699 F.3d 337 (4th Cir. 2012) (2 cases).
- (5) ALJ relied on Grids when there was a nonexertional impairment (2 cases).
- (6) Remand due to new evidence (1 case).

No.	Judge	Case	Reason for Remand
1	Howell (M) ² Reidinger (J) ³	Lane v. Astrue 2:11-cv-6	(2) Hypothetical question did not include all impairments; conflict between RFC & hypothetical. (3) ALJ failed to resolved conflict between VE's testimony and DOT.
2	Voorhees (J)	Salmons v. Astrue 5:10-cv-195	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision.
3	Howell (M) Reidinger (J)	Bailey v. Astrue 1:11-cv-227	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision.
4	Cayer (M) Mullen (J)	Teeter v. Astrue 3:12-cv-190	(2) Hypothetical question did not include all impairments.
5	Cayer (M) Cogburn (J)	Ray v. Astrue 5:12-cv-8	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision.
6	Cayer (M) Voorhees (J)	Pope v. Astrue 5:12-cv-26	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision.
7	Howell (M) Reidinger (J)	Waugh v. Astrue 1:11-cv-284	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision.
8	Cayer (M); Voorhees (J)	Messer v. Astrue 5:11-cv-88	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision.

¹ DISCLAIMER. It is not possible to accurately synthesize the reason for remand into a sentence or two. I have done the best I can. However, clearly the best way to understand the reason for remand is to read the entire Decision.

² (M) means Magistrate Judge.

³ (J) means District Court Judge.

9	Reidinger (J)	Pusz v. Astrue 2:11-cv-48	(4) Remand due to <i>Byrd v. Commissioner</i> , 699 F.3d 337 (4 th Cir. 2012).
10	Keesler (M) Voorhees (J)	Williams v. Astrue 5:11-cv-163	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision. (5) ALJ relied on Grids when there was a nonexertional impairment.
11	Howell (M) Reidinger (J)	Walls v. Astrue 1:11-cv-318	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision.
12	Keesler (M) Reidinger (J)	Stowe v. Astrue 1:11-cv-302	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision.
13	Reidinger (J)	Peterson v. Colvin 1:12-cv-52	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision.
14	Keesler (M) Whitney (J)	Clowers v. Astrue 3:12-cv-229	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision. (5) ALJ relied on Grids when there was a nonexertional impairment.
15	Keesler (M) Voorhees (J)	Dancy v. Comm'r 5:11-cv-111	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision.
16	Cayer (M) Whitney (J)	Cotton v. Colvin 1:12-cv-340	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision. (4) Remand due to <i>Byrd v. Commissioner</i> , 699 F.3d 337 (4 th Cir. 2012).
17	Keesler (M) Mullen (J)	Timmons v. Colvin 3:12-cv-609	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision.
18	Conrad (J)	Wroten v. Colvin 1:12-cv-335	(6) Remand due to new evidence.
19	Cayer (M) Whitney (J)	Trim v. Colvin 2:13-cv-13	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision. (3) ALJ failed to resolved conflict between VE's testimony and DOT.
20	Keesler (M) Mullen (J)	Harlan v. Colvin 3:12-cv-443	(1) Substantial evidence did NOT support ALJ's decision.